UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,159	03/13/2006	Peter Stauss	5367-191PUS	8419
27799 7590 07/31/2009 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			WEISS, HOWARD	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/544,159	STAUSS ET AL.				
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOWARD WEISS	2814				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>HOWARD WEISS</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Alphonso A. Collins</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 July 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Chang et al., Yonehara et al., Hermans et al., Soref and McCarthy.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Agreement was reached that the claim rejections would be overcome with respect to an proposed amendment requiring the thin-film semiconductor body to be made of a 3 or 4 element compound semiconductor material. No agreement was reached on patentability. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Howard Weiss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814						